

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,838	05/16/2001	Hyung-Gon Noh	1568.1015	1568.1015 9186	
21171	7590 02/18/2004		EXAM	INER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			CHANEY, CAROL DIANE		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/855,838	NOH, HYUNG-GON				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carol Chaney	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see below.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9-18 and 20-32</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Carol Chaney Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745

10. Other: ____

Applicants assert Miura et al. disclose a solid polymer electrolyte which is distinct from a polymer gel electrolyte of the instant invention. Applicants describe a gel electrolyte as typically comprising a polymer matrix, a solvent and an electrolytic salt. They further assert polymer solid electrolytes are typically a kind of polymeric thin film and may perform ion conduction rather than electron conduction, and are thus selected particularly to avoid battery leakage.

It is noted that Miura et al. disclose an electrolyte containing an optionally crosslinked polyether copolymer (a polymer matrix), a plasticize which is an aprotic organic solvent, and an electrolyte salt compound. (See column 1, line 47-column 2, line 17.) Thus, the electrolyte disclosed by Miura et al. has the same composition as the gel electrolyte of applicants' invention. The descriptors do not distinguish the inventions.